

Dumb Fixity:

Yellow 5.00

Question / Issue:	- Happy objects - Sad objects.
Convener:	- Gary Simmons
Participants:	

Discussion & Recommendations/Discoveries:

- Giving objects a sentimental value - inherent energy of an object - Personal relation to the object.
- Relative object - happy & sad - not a useful way of interrogating this - more of ~~does~~ can an object possess any particular essence.
- Universal energy - does this exist.
- Apple tree object → happiness or need?
- Cultural, collective sentimentality - does this exist?
- + Does a cube → have a different essence quality → than a sphere?
- + Abstracted apple tree? - closer and further away getting a sense of the object & its energy
- + Burning Apple tree - reflected apple tree
- + How will we ever know whether an object has an inherent energy or not.

- How can we ever know if an object possesses an energy? It is ~~by~~ ~~the~~ ~~fact~~ ~~that~~ ~~we~~ ~~are~~ ~~projecting~~ ~~an~~ ~~energy~~ ~~into~~ ~~the~~ ~~object~~.
- What is scientifically evidenced in this.
- How do we know its energy that we are measuring?
- Its ~~unnamable~~ un-namable?
- Non-realist - reduction of an object  $\Rightarrow$
- Inbetween space - sphere.
- Problem of saying object doesn't have an ~~object~~ <sup>energy</sup> - it is unnamable.
- Thought is not knowledge. Therefore (:) thought is not language.
- what if objects have the other thing / thought?
- Child, play - touching and feeling that is pre-language.
- Knowledge is constructed.
- Relationship between thin layer of consciousness in relationship to mass of un-consciousness.
- childrens - pre-consciousness = intuition.
- Action as thought and gesture is thought. Validation & appreciation of an action - and this action becomes manifest into a recording of a gesture.